

NORM CONVERGENCE OF FEJÉR MEANS OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA

*Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences,
Tbilisi State University, Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia
E-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com*

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to prove that there exist a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$ such that the Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series of the martingale f is not uniformly bounded in the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

1. Introduction. The first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of the Walsh-Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [5] showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f := \sup_n |\sigma_n f|$ is of weak type (1,1), from which the a. e. convergence follows by standard argument. Schipp's result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of $\sigma^* : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ ($1 < p \leq \infty$). This fails to hold for $p = 1$ but Fujii [2] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 . Fujii's theorem was extended by Weisz [8]. Namely, he proved that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_p(G)$ to the space $L_p(G)$ for $p > 1/2$. Simon [6] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for $0 < p < 1/2$. In the endpoint case $p = 1/2$ Weisz [11] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G)$. In [3] the author proved that the maximal operator σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$. By interpolation it follows that σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space weak- L_p for any $0 < p < 1/2$.

For the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series Weisz [9, 10] proved that the following is true

THEOREM W1. Let $p > 1/2$. Then the maximal operator σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh function, Hardy space, Maximal operator.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

The author [4] proved that in Theorem W1, for the maximal operator σ^* , the assumption $p > 1/2$ is essential. Moreover, we prove, that the following is true.

THEOREM G. The maximal operator σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

Weisz [9, 10] considered the norm convergence of Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. In particular, the following is true

THEOREM W2. Let $p > 1/2$. Then

$$\|\sigma_{n,m}f\|_{H_p} \leq c_p \|f\|_{H_p} \quad (f \in H_p).$$

In [9] Weisz conjectured that for the uniform boundedness of the operator $\sigma_{n,m}$ from the Hardy space $H_p(G \times G)$ to the space $H_p(G \times G)$ the assumption $p > 1/2$ is essential. We give answer to the question, moreover, we prove that the operator $\sigma_{n,n}$ is not uniformly bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$. In particular, the following is true.

THEOREM 1.1. *There exist a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ such that*

$$\sup_n \|\sigma_{n,n}f\|_{weak-L_{1/2}} = +\infty.$$

2. Dyadic Hardy spaces. Let \mathbf{P} denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is $1/2$. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denote by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}, \\ (x \in G, n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G , $I_n := I_n(0)$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$). Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G$ the n th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in \mathbf{N}$).

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k -th Rademacher function.

The dyadic rectangles are of the form

$$I_{n,m}(x, y) := I_n(x) \times I_m(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,m}(x, y) : (x, y) \in G \times G\}$ is denoted by $F_{n,m}$.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G \times G)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G \times G} |f(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p < +\infty).$$

The space weak- $L_p(G \times G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{weak-L_p(G \times G)} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Denote by $f = (f^{(n,m)}, n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ two parameter martingale with respect to $(F_{n,m}, n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e. g. [7, 10]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} |f^{(n,m)}|.$$

In case $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x, y) = \sup_{n, m \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,m}(x, y))} \left| \int_{I_{n,m}(x, y)} f(u, v) d\mu(u, v) \right|, \\ (x, y) \in G \times G,$$

For $0 < p < \infty$ the Hardy martingale space $H_p(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$\|f\|_{H_p} := \|f^*\|_p < \infty.$$

3. Walsh system and Fejér means. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, where $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), i. e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh-Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in G \setminus I_n. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The Fejér kernel of order n of the Walsh-Fourier series is defined by

$$K_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k(x).$$

The rectangular partial sums of the double Walsh-Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}f(x,y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i,j) w_i(x) w_j(y),$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x,y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x,y)$$

is said to be the (i,j) th Walsh-Fourier coefficient of the function f .

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n, 2^m}(f) : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n,m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ then the Walsh-Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \lim_{\min(k,l) \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k,l)}(x,y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x,y). \quad (2)$$

The Walsh-Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n, 2^m}(f) : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f .

For $n, m \in \mathbf{P}$ and a martingale f the Fejér mean of order (n, m) of the double Walsh-Fourier series of the martingale f is given by

$$\sigma_{n,m}f(x,y) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y).$$

For the martingale f the maximal operator is defined by

$$\sigma^*f(x,y) = \sup_{n,m} |\sigma_{n,m}f(x,y)|.$$

A function $a \in L_2$ is called a rectangle p -atom if there exists a dyadic rectangle R such that

$$\begin{cases} \text{supp}(a) \subset R, \\ \|a\|_2 \leq |R|^{1/2-1/p} \\ \int_G a(x,y) d\mu(x) = \int_G a(x,y) d\mu(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in G. \end{cases}$$

The basic result of atomic decomposition is the following one.

THEOREM W3. A martingale $f = (f^{(n,m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p ($0 < p \leq 1$) if there exists a sequence $(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of rectangle p -atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^m} a_k &= f^{(n,m)}, \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|_{H_p} \leq \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

4. Auxiliary Result. In order to prove theorem we need the following lemma .

LEMMA 4.1. [4] Let $2 < A \in \mathbf{P}$ and $q_A := 2^{2A} + 2^{2A-2} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then

$$q_{A-1} |K_{q_{A-1}}(x)| \geq 2^{2m+2s-3}$$

for $x \in I_{2A}^{m,s} := I_{2A}(0, \dots, 0, x_{2m} = 1, 0, \dots, 0, x_{2s} = 1, x_{2s+1}, \dots, x_{2A-1})$, $m = 0, 1, \dots, A-3$, $s = m+2, m+3, \dots, A-1$.

5. Proof of main result. *Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Since $2^m/m \uparrow \infty$ it is easy to show that there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{P}\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1/2}} < \infty, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{8m_k}}{m_k}, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{2^{8m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}} < \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \tag{5}$$

Let

$$f^{(A,B)}(x, y) := \sum_{\{l: 2m_l < \min(A,B)\}} \lambda_l a_l(x, y).$$

where

$$\lambda_l := \frac{1}{m_l}$$

and

$$a_l(x, y) := 2^{4m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)).$$

First, we prove that the martingale $f := (f^{(A,B)} : A, B \in \mathbf{N})$ belongs to the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$. Indeed, since

$$\|a_l\|_2 \leq c2^{6m_l},$$

$$S_{2^A, 2^B} a_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \min(A, B) \leq 2m_k, \\ a_k(x, y), & \text{if } \min(A, B) > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

we can write

$$f^{(A,B)}(x, y) := \sum_{\{l: 2m_l < \min(A,B)\}} \lambda_l a_l(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A, 2^B} a_k(x, y)$$

from (3) and Theorem W3 we conclude that $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since

$$\int_{G \times G} f^{(A,B)}(x, y) w_i(x) w_j(y) d\mu(x, y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \\ & \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \\ 0, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \\ & \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \min(A, B) \leq 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \\ & \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, \min(A, B) > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

we can write (see (2))

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \\ & \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}, k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ 0, & (i, j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\} \\ & \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1} - 1\}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

Let $q_{m_k} := 2^{2m_k} + 2^{2m_k-2} + \dots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j} f(x, y) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j} f(x, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j} f(x, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j} f(x, y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j} f(x, y) \\ &= I + II + III + IV. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Let $(i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}$. Then from (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{i,j} f(x, y) &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{j-1} \widehat{f}(\nu, \mu) w_{\nu}(x) w_{\mu}(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \widehat{f}(\nu, \mu) w_{\nu}(x) w_{\mu}(y) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \widehat{f}(\nu, \mu) w_{\nu}(x) w_{\mu}(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) \times (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} (D_i(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_j(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)). \quad (8)$$

substitute (8) in IV, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & IV \quad (9) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} (q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})^2 \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) \\ & \quad \times (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} (D_i(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) \\ & \quad \times (D_j(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) \\ &= IV_1 + IV_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$D_{j+2^{2m_k}}(x) = D_{2^{2m_k}}(x) + w_{2^{2m_k}}(x)D_j(x), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1.$$

for IV_2 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} IV_2 &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} w_{2^{2m_k}}(x) w_{2^{2m_k}}(y) \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} D_i(x) \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} D_j(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} w_{2^{2m_k}}(x) w_{2^{2m_k}}(y) q_{m_k-1}^2 K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x) K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y). \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|D_{2^n}(x)| \leq 2^n, \quad n \in N, \quad x \in G$$

by (4) and (5) we obtain

$$|IV_1| \leq C \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \quad (11)$$

Combining (9)-(11) we have

$$IV \geq \frac{C q_{m_k-1}^2}{m_k} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x) \right| \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y) \right| - \frac{C 2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \quad (12)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j) &\in (\{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\}) \\ &\cup (\{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}) \\ &\cup (\{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1\}). \end{aligned}$$

Then from (6), (4) and (5) it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{i,j} f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \left| \hat{f}(\nu, \mu) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \leq \frac{C 2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &\leq \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k-1}} |S_{i,j} f(x, y)| \\ &\leq C \frac{2^{4m_k}}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$|II| \leq \frac{2^{2m_k}(q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \leq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}, \quad (14)$$

$$|III| \leq \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \quad (15)$$

Combining (7), (9)-(15) we obtain that

$$\left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| \geq \frac{Cq_{m_k-1}^2}{m_k} |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x)| |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y)| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \quad (16)$$

Let $(x, y) \in I_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2}$, $(l_1, l_2) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\}$.

Then from Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$q_{m_k-1} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x) \right| \geq C2^{4l_1}$$

and

$$q_{m_k-1} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y) \right| \geq C2^{4l_2},$$

consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{m_k-1}^2 \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x) \right| \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y) \right| &\geq C2^{4l_1+4l_2}, \\ \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| &\geq \frac{C}{m_k} 2^{4l_1+4l_2} - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Denote

$$A(m_k) := \left\{ (l_1, l_2) : 0 \leq l_2 \leq m_k - 3, 0 \leq l_1 \leq \frac{m_k}{4}, l_1 + l_2 \geq \frac{m_k}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$\alpha_k := \frac{C2^{m_k}}{m_k}.$$

Since (see (17) and $(l_1, l_2) \in A(m_k)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| &\geq \frac{C}{m_k} 2^{m_k} - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \\ &\geq \frac{C2^{m_k}}{m_k} = \alpha_k \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu \left\{ (x, y) \in G \times G : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| \geq C\alpha_k \right\} \\ &\geq \sum_{(l_1, l_2) \in A(m_k)} \mu \left\{ (x, y) \in I_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2} : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| \geq \alpha_k \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq C \sum_{l_1=0}^{[m_k/4]} \sum_{l_2=[m_k/4]-l_1}^{m_k-3} \sum_{x_{2l_1+5}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{2m_k-1}=0}^1 \sum_{x_{2l_2+5}=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{x_{2m_k-1}=0}^1 \\ &\mu \left(I_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2} \right) \\ &\geq C \sum_{l_1=0}^{[m_k/4]} \sum_{l_2=[m_k/4]-l_1}^{m_k-3} \frac{1}{2^{2l_1+2l_2}} \geq \frac{Cm_k}{2^{m_k/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha_k \left(\mu \left\{ (x, y) : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) \right| \geq C\alpha_k \right\} \right)^2 \\ &\geq C \frac{2^{m_k}}{m_k} \frac{m_k^2}{2^{m_k}} = Cm_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \\ &\sup_k \left\| \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f \right\|_{w \in a_k - L_{1/2}} := \\ &= \sup_k \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \left(\mu \left\{ (x, y) \in G \times G : \sigma_{q_{m_k}, q_{m_k}} f(x, y) > \lambda \right\} \right)^2 = +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved. ■

References

- [1] J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41(1955), 558-591.
- [2] N. J. Fujii, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77(1979), 111-116.
- [3] U. Goginava, The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. East J. Approx. 12 (2006), no. 3, 295-302.
- [4] U. Goginava, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series. Acta Math. Hungar. 115 (2007), no. 4, 333-340.
- [5] F. Schipp, Über gewissen maximaloperatoren, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Sect. Math., 18(1975), 189-195.
- [6] P. Simon, Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system, Monatsh. Math. 131(2000), 321-334.
- [7] F. Weisz, Martingale Hardy Spaces and their Applications in Fourier Analysis, Springer, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1994.
- [8] F. Weisz, Cesàro summability of one and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Anal. Math. 22(1996), 229-242.
- [9] F. Weisz, The maximal (C, α, β) operator of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6(2000), 389-401.
- [10] F. Weisz, Summability of multi-dimensional Fourier series and Hardy space, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [11] F. Weisz, ϑ -summability of Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar. 103(1-2)(2004), 139-176.